

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DANIEL KEATING,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
THOMAS McCAHILL, et al.,	:	NO. 11-518
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 28th day of June, 2012, upon consideration of the submissions of the parties relating to Plaintiff Daniel Keating's request that the Court conduct an *in camera* review of certain documents on Defendants' privilege log to determine whether the communications at issue are shielded from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the twenty-four (24) documents that Equisoft has produced in redacted form on the basis of attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine may remain redacted as presented to the Court, but Equisoft shall produce Document 253 in redacted form pursuant to the Court's accompanying Memorandum.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gene E.K. Pratter
GENE E.K. PRATTER
United States District Judge